THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO.: 2018-358-WS

)

Inc. fo Mecha	ed Application of Carolina Water Service, or Approval of Annual Rate Adjustment anism and Petition for an Accounting to Defer Expenses))))	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID HUGHES (Supervisor, York County W/S Division)	
Q:	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, TITLE AND BUSINESS ADDRESS:			
A:	My name is David Hughes. For the last 24 Years, I have served as the Supervisor with the York			
County Water/Sewer Division of Public Works in York South Carolina. My business address is 220 Public				
Works Road, York, South Carolina.				
Q:	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS	SSUP	ERVISOR?	

6

In the Matter of

1 2 3

4

5

7 8

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

- A: Included in my responsibilities as the County W-S Supervisor are the following duties:
- 10 Plan and manage the installation, maintenance, and repair of water and sewer systems, 11 ensuring compliance with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
 - Prepare and monitor the division budget; ensure effective and efficient use of budgeted funds, personnel, materials, facilities, and time.
 - Evaluate County water/sewer systems and service needs, and formulate plans to meet those needs in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
 - Work with County engineers and outside engineering firms in the design and implementation of system improvements.
 - Administer and ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act regulations, including those pertaining to backflow prevention, lead and copper levels, volatile organic contaminants, disinfection by-products, and unregulated contaminant testing.

• In	spect county projects in progress and upon their completion inspect for compliance with	
applicable policies, procedures, laws, regulations, contracts, permits, and standards of quality and		
safety.		

• Supervise water/sewer billing functions; assist Billing Clerk with customer requests and complaints; and resolve billing disputes.

Q: WHAT IS YOU EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND IN THE WATER AND SEWER INDUSTRY?

- A: I earned a State Diploma in Engineering Graphics from York Technical College 1981 and an Associate's Degree in Civil Engineering Technology from York Technical College 1984. I hold Certificates awarded for Water Distribution Operator, Level A, from the South Carolina Environmental Certification Board (2000); and for Wastewater Collection System Operator, Class A from the South Carolina American Water Works Association & Water Environment Association (2002). For ten years, I worked as a Civil Engineering Technician for Water/Sewer Design and Construction Administration with Williams Engineering and with Campco Engineering, both in Rock Hill, South Carolina. For the last 24 Years, I have served as the Supervisor with the York County Water/Sewer Division of Public Works in York South Carolina. I have been a member of the South Carolina section of the American Water Works Association and the South Carolina Rural Water Association (SCRWA) for thirty-one years and I served on the SCRWA Board of Directors for twelve years.
- 22 Q: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 23 A: The purpose of my testimony is to support York County's position in the CWS/Blue Granite
- 24 Water Company ("Company") rate case before the Commission regarding the proposed Rate
- 25 Adjustment Mechanism, the recovery of Deferred Account balances, and related matters.
- 26 Q: WHAT IS THE POSITION OF YORK COUNTY?
- A: The County supports a true "pass-through" mechanism, without mark-up or margin, to be
 applied on a regional basis, as opposed to a "rate adjustment mechanism" applied on a more statewide
 basis which incorporates all of the third-party rates charged by providers to Company. The formulaic

basis for application of the pass-through will need to be approved by the Commission. The County also 1

contends that the recovery of Deferred Account balances pose concerns for York County customers of

County as the request is styled. Essentially, the recovery of the account balances over a one year period

places too extreme an impact on customers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

WHAT ARE THE BASES FOR THESE POSITIONS? Q:

A: York County, as a water and wastewater service provider, contends that approval of a passthrough related to water and sewer rate increases (or decreases) directly attributable to York County will best serve the York County citizens whom are customers of the Company. The County further contends that the pass-through will present a transparent process so that citizens will understand this directly attributable aspect of our regional rates, as opposed to assuming a rate increase which averages all of the statewide third-party rates from other jurisdictions and for which York County has no control or even opportunity to temper increases. York County understands the specific procedure to be applied with regard to the pass-through of water and sewer rates will need to be approved by the Public Service Commission. Additionally, with regard to the recovery of deferred accounts, the County, while appreciating the desire of Company to recapture the accounts, it advocates the adoption of a lengthier amortization period so that the recovery can be more stably applied over time to the Company customer accounts.

IN YOUR OPINION, DOES THE APPROVAL OF A PASS-THROUGH AFFECT THE PROVISION OF Q: **SERVICES TO COMPANY CUSTOMERS?**

A: In my opinion, the approval of a pass-through, or a denial for that matter, should have no impact upon the provision of services. The provision of quality customer service is something York County strives to provide in its operations as a water and sewer service provider. Therefore, accepting the premise that an overarching objective of good business practices includes reliable and quality customer service by the Company, then the Company should be promoting these aspects in its service regardless of a pass-through approval. However, the approval of a pass-through, without mark-up or Page 3 of 4 (York County – David Hughes Testimony)

- 1 margin, should at least eliminate this aspect from future rate cases and theoretically result in a more
- 2 stream-lined rate case process in the future, or at least a more narrow subject matter scope in future
- 3 cases. Therefore, for the reasons expressed in my answer to the previous question, the approval or
- 4 denial of a pass-through should not affect the provision of services by Company to its York County
- 5 customers. Additionally and significant to the County's positon, York County would like to underscore
- 6 that in its opinion anything outside direct pass-through concern before the Commission is best suited for
- 7 the long-standing public review process established in traditional rate cases. In these cases, citizens and
- 8 concerned parties are afforded an opportunity to check, audit, and vet proposed rate increases and all
- 9 proposed cost recovery, addressing such items as, <u>inter alia</u>, capital costs, recovery of water loss, the
- impact of Inflow and Intrusion concerns, and wastewater treatment expenses; public participation being
- precluded in the rate mechanism request, as currently styled. The public review process affords citizen
- 12 consumers the opportunity to assess and advocate their interests on these and other subjects in future
- 13 cases.
- 14 It is for these reasons that York County has taken a position in this case.
- 15 Q: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 16 **A:** Yes.